ECGPS Grant Program
Application Review Form

The following questions are used by reviewers to evaluate applicants to the ECGPS Grants Program. In addition to answering each of the questions below, reviewers provide written feedback, which is available to applicants once a final decision has been made.

1. The application clearly explains the purpose for the travel/research/service.
   a. Strongly agree (20 points)
   b. Agree (15 points)
   c. Neutral (0 points)
   d. Disagree (-15 points)
   e. Strongly Disagree (-20 points)

2. Based on the applicant’s description, how important do you think this travel/research/service is?
   a. Very important (20)
   b. Somewhat important (15)
   c. Neutral (0)
   d. Somewhat unimportant (-10)
   e. Totally unimportant (-15)

3. This applicant makes a strong case for why they should receive funding.
   a. Strongly agree (20 points)
   b. Agree (15 points)
   c. Neutral (0 points)
   d. Disagree (-15 points)
   e. Strongly Disagree (-20 points)

4. How would you describe the clarity of the applicant’s writing?
   a. Very clear (15)
   b. Mostly clear (5)
   c. Neutral (0)
   d. Somewhat unclear (-5)
   e. Very unclear (-15)

5. Service & Research only: Please read the attached letter of support. How would you describe the letter writer’s endorsement of the project?
   a. Very enthusiastic (15)
   b. Somewhat enthusiastic (10)
   c. Neutral (0)
   d. Somewhat hesitant (-15)
   e. Very hesitant (-30)
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6. Service & Research only: What is the likelihood the project will be completed according to the timeline outlined in the application?
   a. Very likely (15)
   b. Somewhat likely (10)
   c. Neutral (0)
   d. Somewhat unlikely (-10)
   e. Very unlikely (-20)

7. Reviewer recommendation: Please provide a recommendation to the Grants Coordinator.
   a. Award grant
   b. Award if money is available
   c. Do not award grant

8. Please provide written comments to the grant applicant? What was good about this application? What could be improved?

9. Please provide additional comments to the Grant Coordinator (these will not be released to the applicant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review type</th>
<th>Total points possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>75 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>105 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>105 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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